arXiv:math/0701109v1 [math.CV] 3 Jan 2007

BIQUOTIENT ACTIONS ON UNIPOTENT LIE GROUPS

ANNETT PÜTTMANN

Abstract. We consider pairs (V, H) of subgroups of a connected unipotent complex Lie group G for which the induced $V \times H$-action on G by multiplication from the left and from the right is free. We prove that this action is proper if the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G is 3-step nilpotent. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent, then there is a global slice of the action that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Furthermore, a global slice isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ exists if $\dim V = 1 = \dim H$ or $\dim V = 1$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is 3-step nilpotent. We give an explicit example of a 3-step nilpotent Lie group and a pair of 2-dimensional subgroups such that the induced action is proper but the corresponding geometric quotient is not affine.

1. Introduction and generic situation

By G we always denote a connected, simply connected complex unipotent Lie group, i.e. an algebraic subgroup of a group of upper triangular matrices. Any pair (V, H) of complex algebraic subgroups $V, H \subset G$ defines a natural $V \times H$ action on G by $(v, h).g = vgh^{-1}$. Equivalently, we can discuss the V-action given by $v.gH = vgH$ on the homogeneous space G/H, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H}$ as a complex algebraic variety. We consider only free $V \times H$-actions on G.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{v}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ the Lie algebras of G, V, and H, respectively. We will frequently use the descending central series $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} \supset \ldots \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(j+1)} := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}] \supset \ldots \supset \{0\}$. A nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be l-step nilpotent if l is the smallest integer such that $\mathfrak{g}^{(l)} = \{0\}$.

Since the only compact algebraic subgroup of V is the trivial group and the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is an isomorphism, the isotropy groups V_x are compact for all $x \in G/H$ iff the isotropy groups V_x are trivial for all $x \in G/H$ iff $\mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mathfrak{v}) \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$ for all $g \in G$.

Lipsman conjectured [2] that the $V \times H$-action on G is proper if it is free. In fact, Nasrin proved [3] Lipsman's conjecture to be true for 2-step nilpotent Lie groups G. We improve this result showing that Lipsman's conjecture is true if $\mathfrak{g}^{(3)} = \{0\}$, and that there is a global slice isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H - \dim V}$, if $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = \{0\}$.

There is a counterexample to Lipsman's conjecture presented by Yoshino [7] with $\mathfrak{g}^{(4)} = \{0\}$. Essentially the same example serves to show that there is a free non-proper affine $\mathbb{C}^2$-action on $\mathbb{C}^5$, which is just the smallest member of a series, $n \geq 5$, of free non-proper affine $\mathbb{C}^2$-actions on $\mathbb{C}^n$ [5]. We construct a 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and 2-dimensional subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{v}$, such that the induced V-action on G/H is Winkelmann's [6] the free affine proper $\mathbb{C}^2$-action on $\mathbb{C}^6$ without global slice.

Research supported by SFB/TR12 “Symmetrien und Universalität in mesoskopischen Systemen” of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

We can not answer the question of whether Lipsman's conjecture is true if one of the subgroups is one-dimensional. But a global slice isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ exists if $\dim V = 1 = \dim H$ or $\dim V = 1$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is 3-step nilpotent.

Let us start by looking at the generic case which turns out to be easy: We call a basis $\mathcal{B} = ((X_1, \ldots, X_n))$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ a Levi-Malcev basis, if it is compatible with the descending central series, i.e., $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$ for all j. Given subalgebras $\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is called Levi-Malcev decomposition if there are bases of the subspaces $\mathfrak{v}$, $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{s}$ such that their union is a Levi-Malcev basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that there is a Levi-Malcev decomposition if and only if $\pi_j(\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}) \cap \pi_j(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}) = \{0\}$ for all j, where $\pi_j : \mathfrak{g}^{(j)} \to \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}/\mathfrak{g}^{(j+1)}$ denotes the canonical homomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$ to the commutative Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}/\mathfrak{g}^{(j+1)}$. If two subspaces $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{v} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ are generically chosen, then there exists a Levi-Malcev decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

Lemma 1. *If there is a Levi-Malcev decomposition* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$*, then* $\mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mathfrak{v}) \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$ *for all* $g \in G$*.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$, then $\mathrm{Ad}(g)(X) - X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(j+1)}$ for all $g \in G$. $\square$

Since the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is an isomorphism, the action can be pulled-back onto the Lie algebra. We use the notation $\exp(X * Y) = (\exp X)(\exp Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 1. *If there is a Levi-Malcev decomposition* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$*, then* $S := \exp \mathfrak{s} \subset G$ *is a global slice of the* $V \times H$*-action on* G*.*

Proof. If $X \notin \mathfrak{g}^{(j+1)}$, then $\pi_j(X * Y) = \pi_j(X + Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Corollary 1. *There is a global slice of the* H*-action on* G*. In particular,* $G/H \cong \mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H}$*.*

Proof. Arbitrary vector space decompositions $\pi_j(\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}) = \mathfrak{s}_j \oplus \pi_j(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(j)})$ imply a Levi-Malcev decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. Then $S := \exp \mathfrak{s} \subset G$ is a global slice of the H action on G. $\square$

2. Reductions using normal subgroups

If $N \lhd G$ is a normal subgroup, then G/N is again a connected simply connected unipotent Lie group. Furthermore, there is the induced action of the subgroups $V/(V \cap N)$ and $H/(H \cap N)$ on G/N. In particular, if $Z(G)$ is the center of G, then $V \cap Z(G)$ and $H \cap Z(G)$ are central subgroups of G. Therefore, the $V \times H$-action on G is equivalent to the $V/(V \cap Z(G)) \times H/(H \cap Z(G))$-action on $G/((Z(G) \cap V) \times (Z(G) \cap H))$. Consequently, we can assume $V \cap Z(G) = H \cap Z(G) = \{e\}$ and $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)} = \{0\}$, which might be a weaker condition.

Lemma 2. *Let* $N \lhd G$ *be a normal subgroup of* G*. If the induced* $V \times H$*-action on* G/N *is free, then any local slice* $S \subset G/N$ *gives a local slice* $SN \subset G$*.*

Proof. If there are $v \in V$, $h \in H$, $s, s' \in S$, and $n, n' \in N$ such that $vsnh^{-1} = s'n'$, then there exists $n'' \in N$ such that $vshn'' = s'$, since N is normal. $\square$

Let $G_1 \lhd G$ be a normal subgroup that contains V and H. Any global slice S of the G_1-action on G by left-multiplication defines an isomorphism $\Psi : S \times G_1 \to G$,

$(s, g_1) \mapsto sg_1$. Now, the $V \times H$-action on G can be regarded as a family of $V \times H$-actions on G_1 parameterized by $s \in S$:

$$(v, h).(s, g_1) = \Psi^{-1}(vsg_1h^{-1}) = \Psi^{-1}(s(s^{-1}vs)g_1h^{-1}) = (s, s^{-1}vsg_1h^{-1}).$$

Lemma 3. *Let $G_1 \lhd G$ be a normal subgroup that contains H and V. If there is an element $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathrm{ad}(Y_0)(\mathfrak{v}) \subset \mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \langle Y_0\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a Levi-Malcev decomposition, then the $V \times H$-action on G is proper iff the $V \times H$-action on G_1 is proper. In that case, $V\backslash G/H \cong (V\backslash G_1/H) \times \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The condition $\mathrm{ad}(Y_0)(\mathfrak{v}) \subset \mathfrak{v}$ implies $\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(y_0Y_0))(\mathfrak{v}) = e^{y_0\,\mathrm{ad}(Y_0)}(\mathfrak{v}) = \mathfrak{v}$ for all $y_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e., we have a trivial family of $V \times H$-actions on G_1. □

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathfrak{n} := \pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \pi_0(\mathfrak{v}))$, $\mathfrak{v}_0 := \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{v}$, $\mathfrak{h}_0 := \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{h}$, $V_0 := \exp \mathfrak{v}_0$, and $H_0 := \exp \mathfrak{h}_0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a maximal subspace that satisfies $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$, $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0$, and $\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{v}_0$. The $V \times H$-action on G is proper iff the $V_0 \times H_0$-action on G is proper. In that case, $V\backslash G/H \cong V_0\backslash(\exp \mathfrak{g}_1)/H_0$.*

Proof. The subspace $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is an ideal, since it contains $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. Note that V and H can be interchanged in Lemma 3. We therefore assume $\pi_0(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \pi_0(\mathfrak{v})$. Choose Lie algebra elements $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \mathfrak{v}$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{s} = m$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ is a Levi-Malcev decomposition for subspace $\mathfrak{s} := \langle X_1, \dots, X_m\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now, $\{\exp(x_1X_1) \dots \exp(x_mX_m) : x_j \in \mathbb{C}\} =: S$ is a global slice for the G_1-action on G be left multiplication. □

Lemma 4. *Let $N \lhd G$ be a normal subgroup. If $S_N \subset G/N$ is a global slice of the $V/(V \cap N) \times H/(H \cap N)$-action on G/N and $S \subset G$ is a global slice of the $(V \cap N) \times (H \cap N)$-action on G, then $S \cap S_NN$ is global slice of the $V \times H$-action on G.*

Proof. The map $V \times (S \cap S_NN) \times H \to G$, $(v, s, g) \mapsto vsg$, is an isomorphism, because $(V \cap N)S_NN(H \cap N) = S_NN$ and $S/N = G/N$. □

3. SPECIAL CASES

If G is commutative, then any vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is a Levi-Malcev decomposition and defines a global slice $S := \exp \mathfrak{s}$ of the $V \times H$-action.

3.1. 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras.

Applying the results of section 2 we can assume that there are $X_1, \dots, X_m \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ and $Z_1, \dots, Z_m \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that $((X_1, \dots, X_m))$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $((X_1 + Z_1, \dots, X_m + Z_m))$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{v}$ if $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent. In particular, $\mathfrak{v}$, $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ are commutative.

Proposition 2. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent, then there exists a global slice $S \subset G$ of the $V \times H$-action on G that is algebraically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H - \dim V}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be any Levi-Malcev decomposition. Then $\exp \mathfrak{s}$ is a global slice of the H-action on G. The induced V-action on $\mathfrak{s} \cong G/H$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j(X_j + Z_j)).Y &= \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j(X_j + Z_j)) \exp(Y) \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{m} -t_j X_j)\\ &= \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j X_j))(Y) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j Z_j\\ &= \exp(\mathrm{ad}(\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j X_j))(Y) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j Z_j\end{aligned}$$

which is an affine action of the commutative group $\mathbb{C}^m$ on $\mathfrak{s} \cong \mathbb{C}^{\dim G - m}$ of degree one, i.e., the expression $\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j(X_j + Z_j)).Y$ is linear in the variables t_j. By [5] this action has a global slice that is algebraically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\dim \mathfrak{s} - m}$. □

3.2. **3-step nilpotent Lie algebras.** If $\mathfrak{g}$ is 3-step nilpotent, we can assume that $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = \{0\}$ and $\pi_0(\mathfrak{v}) = \pi_0(\mathfrak{h})$. Choose $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that $((\pi_0(X_1), \ldots, \pi_0(X_m)))$ is a basis of $\pi_0(\mathfrak{h}) = \pi_0(\mathfrak{v})$. There are $Z_1, \ldots, Z_m \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that $X_j + Z_j \in \mathfrak{v}$ for $1 \le j \le m$. Let us consider the subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{v}_0 \subset \mathfrak{v}$ generated by the elements $X_j \in \mathfrak{h}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, and $X_j + Z_j \in \mathfrak{v}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, respectively. Note that $[\mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}] = [\mathfrak{v}_0, \mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}] = \{0\}$, since these commutators are in $\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}$ and V and H are assumed to have trivial intersection with the center of G. Hence, there is a Lie algebra decomposition $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{v}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{v}_1$ with $\mathfrak{v}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$.

By construction there is an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{v}_0 \to \mathfrak{h}_0$, $X_j + Z_j \mapsto X_j$. The set $\mathfrak{n} := \{(Y_0 + Y_1, \phi(Y_0) + Y) : Y_j \in \mathfrak{v}_j, Y \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}\} \subset \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal isomorphic to $\mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. The resulting action of the normal subgroup $\exp \mathfrak{n} \subset V \times H$ is affine of degree two, since $X * Y = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$ if $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g}^{(l-2)}$, i.e., $\delta^3(x_j) = 0$ for all j and for any locally nilpotent derivation $\delta \in \mathfrak{n}$ for any choice of affine coordinates x_j on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 5. *A free affine action of a connected simply connected complex unipotent Lie group N on $\mathbb{C}^m$ of degree two is proper.*

Proof. Since the action is affine, there are coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that the action map $N \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$, $(n, x) \mapsto n.x$, defines a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ by locally nilpotent triangular derivations such that $\delta(x_k) \in \langle 1, x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $\delta \in \mathfrak{n}$ and all $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Given a pair $(x_0, \delta_0) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathfrak{n}$ we can assume $x_j(x_0) = 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$ and $\delta_0(x_k) = c_0$ and $|c_0| \neq 0$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, since the action is free. Consequently, there is a neighborhood U_0 of (x_0, δ_0) in $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathfrak{n}$ such that $|\delta(x_k)(x)| > \frac{3}{4} c_0$ for all $(x, \delta) \in U_0$.

We have to show that the map $\Phi : N \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m$, $(n, x) \mapsto (x, n.x)$, is proper, i.e., for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m$ the preimage $\Phi^{-1}(K)$ is compact. Since the action is of degree two,

$$x_j(\exp(\delta).x) = (\exp(-\delta).x_j)(x) = x_j(x) - \delta(x_j)(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^2(x_j)(x)$$

for all $\delta \in \mathfrak{n}$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Note that if $|(x, n.x)| \le R$ and $n = \exp \delta$, then $h_j(\delta, x) := |-\delta(x_j)(x) + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2(x_j)(x)| \le 2R$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$. We will prove that the set $\{(x, \delta) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathfrak{n} : |x| < R, h_j(x, \delta) \le 2R \forall j\}$ is bounded. For any point $(x_0, \delta_0) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathfrak{n}$ satisfying $|x_0| \le R$ and $|\delta_0| = 1$ we will find a neighborhood U_0

and a number $S_{(x_0,\delta_0)}$ such that $h_j(x,\alpha\delta) \leq 2R$ for $(x,\delta) \in U_0$ and for all j implies $|\alpha| \leq S_{(x_0,\delta_0)}$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ and $(x,\delta) \in U_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |-\alpha\delta(x_k)(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2\delta^2(x_k)(x)| &= \alpha|-\delta(x_k)(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^2(x_k)(x)| \\ &\geq \alpha(|\delta(x_k)(x)| - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha|\delta^2(x_k)(x)|) \geq \alpha(\tfrac{3}{4}c_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha|\delta^2(x_k)(x)|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 = \delta^3(x_k) = \sum_{j<k} a_j(\delta)\delta^2 x_j$ for all $\delta \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $h_j(x,\alpha\delta) \leq 2R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\delta^2(x_k) = \alpha\sum_{j<k}\delta(c_0(\delta) + a_j(\delta)x_j) &= \sum_{j<k} a_j(\delta)\alpha\delta x_j \\ &= \sum_{j<k} a_j(\delta)(\alpha\delta x_j - \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha^2\delta^2 x_j) \leq 2R\sum_{j<k} a_j(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

The neighborhood U_0 can be shrunk further to obtain $\sum_{j<k} a_j(\delta) \leq \frac{c_0}{4R}$ for all $(x,\delta) \in U_0$, because $\sum_{j<k} a_j(\delta_0) = 0$. Hence, $\frac{1}{2}\alpha\delta^2(x_k)(x) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_0$. This gives the upper bound $S_{(x_0,\delta_0)} = \frac{4R}{c_0} \geq |\alpha|$. ☐

Corollary 3. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is 3-step nilpotent, then the $V \times H$-action on G is proper.*

Proof. By the previous Lemma the N-action on G is proper. This means that there are local slices $S_\alpha \subset G$ of the N-action such that the sets $U_\alpha := N.S_\alpha$ cover G. Let $G^{(1)} := \exp\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. The N-action on $G/G^{(1)}$ is trivial and $(V \times H)/N \cong H/(H \cap G^{(1)})$. Let $\pi_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \pi_0(\mathfrak{h})$ be any vector space decomposition. Then $\tilde{U}_\alpha := \exp(\pi_0^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_0)) \cap U_\alpha$ are N-invariant subsets and $\tilde{S}_\alpha := \tilde{U}_\alpha/N$ are local slices of the $V \times H$-action on G. ☐

To conclude this section we give an example of a 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and two subalgebras $\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that the corresponding free action of $V \times H$ on G does not have a global (holomorphic or algebraic) slice. It was shown in [6] that the two commuting derivations $\delta' = y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_4} + (1+y_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ and $\delta = y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ define a proper free affine $\mathbb{C}^2$-action on $\mathbb{C}^6$ that has a geometric quotient that is neither affine nor Stein.

Lemma 6. *There is a Lie bracket on the complex vector space generated by X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Z_1, Z_2 such that the only non-vanishing commutators of basis elements are $[X_1,Y_2] = Y_3$, $[X_2,Y_1] = Y_3 + Z_2$, $[X_2,Y_2] = Y_4$, and $[X_2,Z_2] = Z_1$.*

Proof. We have to check the Jacobi-identity: The elements Y_3, Y_4, and Z_1 are in the center,

$$\begin{aligned} &[x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + y_1Y_1 + y_2Y_2 + zZ_2, \\ &\quad [x_1'X_1 + x_2'X_2 + y_1'Y_1 + y_2'Y_2 + z'Z_2, x_1''X_1 + x_2''X_2 + y_1''Y_1 + y_2''Y_2 + z''Z_2]] \\ &\qquad = [x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + y_1Y_1 + y_2Y_2, (x_2'y_1'' - x_2''y_1')Z_2] = x_2(x_2'y_1'' - x_2''y_1')Z_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$x'(xy'' - x''y) - x''(xy' - x'y) = xx'y'' - x'x''y - xx''y' + x'x''y = x(x'y'' - x''y').$$

☐

The Lie algebra constructed in Lemma 6 is 3-step nilpotent. Its complex subspaces $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_1, X_2\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{v} = \langle X_1 + Z_1, X_2 + Z_2\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ are subalgebras. The induced V-action on $G/H = \exp(\langle Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Z_1, Z_2\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ is the $\mathbb{C}^2$-action on $\mathbb{C}^6$ given by δ and δ'.

Note the similarity to the construction of a pair of counterexamples to Lipsman's conjecture in [7]. The smallest example of a free, affine, non-proper action of a unipotent group on some $\mathbb{C}^n$ is given by the $\mathbb{C}^2$-action on $\mathbb{C}^5$ generated by the two derivations $\delta_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ and $\delta_2 = y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} + y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + y_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$, see [8], [5]. The complex subspaces $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_1, X_2\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{v} = \langle X_1 + Z_1, X_2 + Z_2\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ are subalgebras of the 4-step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ constructed in Lemma 7. The induced V-action on $G/H \cong \exp(\langle Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Z_1, Z_2\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathbb{C}^5$ is the $\mathbb{C}^2$-action generated by δ_1 and δ_2, i.e., the statement of Corollary 3 is not true for 4-step nilpotent Lie algebras.

Lemma 7. *There is a Lie bracket on the vector space generated by* X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Z_1, Z_2 *such that the only non-vanishing commutators of basis elements are* $[X_1, Y_1] = Y_2$, $[X_1, Y_2] = Y_3$, $[X_1, Y_3] = Z_2$, *and* $[X_2, Y_1] = Z_1$.

Proof. We verify the Jacobi-identity using the same argument as in Lemma 6. □

3.3. Induced $\mathbb{C}$-actions on G/H.

Note that $\dim H = 2 = \dim V$ in the explicit examples of the previous section. In fact, $\dim H, \dim V \geq 2$ in all known examples for which $V\backslash G/H \not\cong \mathbb{C}^N$. Let us look more closely at the case where one of the subgroups, say V, is 1-dimensional.

Using the results of section 2 we can assume that there are $X_0, \dots, X_m \in \mathfrak{g}\backslash\mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)}$ and $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)}$ such that $X_0 + Z_0$ generates $\mathfrak{v}$ and $((X_0, \dots, X_m))$ is a Levi-Malcev basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ if $\dim \mathfrak{v} = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be a Levi-Malcev decomposition satisfying $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{s}$. Recall that a $\exp \mathfrak{s}$ is a global slice of the H-action on G and the induced V-action on $G/H \cong \mathfrak{s}$ is given by

$$e^{t(X_0+Z_0)}.Y = \pi_H(\mathrm{Ad}(e^{tX_0})(Y) + tZ_0) = \pi_H(e^{t\,\mathrm{ad}(X_0)}(Y) + tZ_0),$$

where $\pi_H : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/H = \mathfrak{s}$ denotes the quotient map of the H-action on $\mathfrak{g}$. Consider the linear map $\mathrm{ad}(X_0) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Let r be the largest j such that $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$.

Lemma 8. *If* $r \geq l - 1$ *or* $\dim H = 1$, *then the* $V \times H$*-action on* G *is proper and there is a global slice that is algebraically isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H - 1}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ has trivial intersection with $\mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)}$, in both cases, the global slice $\mathfrak{s}$ of the H-action on $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)$ invariant and contains the subspace $\langle Z_0\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. Consequently, the induced V-action on $\mathfrak{g}/H \cong \mathfrak{s}$ is given by

$$e^{t(X_0+Z_0)}.Y = e^{t\,\mathrm{ad}(X_0)}(Y) + tZ_0,$$

which is a free affine $\mathbb{C}$-action on $\mathfrak{s}$.

Since $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{s})$ is a vector space, that does not contain $\mathbb{C}Z_0$ and $\mathbb{C}X_0$, there is a vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}Z_0$ such that $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{s}_0) \subset \mathfrak{s}_0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{s}_0$ is a global slice of the V-action on $\mathfrak{s}$. □

Lemma 9. *If* V *is contained in a normal commutative subgroup* N, *then the* $V \times H$*-action on* G *is proper and there is a global slice isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H - 1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4 we can assume that $H \subset N$, because $V/(V \cap N)$ is trivial and the $H/(H \cap N)$-action on G/N admits a global slice isomorphic to some $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be a Levi-Malcev decomposition. Let $S_0 \subset G$ be a global slice of the

N-action on G by left-multiplication. Then G/H is isomorphic to $S_0 \times \mathfrak{s}_1$. The induced V-action on $S_0 \times \mathfrak{s}_1$ given by a derivation of the form $\delta = \sum_j a_j(s_0)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ where the y_j are coordinates of $\mathfrak{s}_1$. In other words, we obtain a family of pairs of subspaces $\mathrm{Ad}(s_0)(\mathfrak{v}), \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ parameterized by $s_0 \in S_0$ satisfying $\mathrm{Ad}(s_0)(\mathfrak{v}) \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$ for all $s_0 \in S_0$. Because the V-action on $G/H \cong S_0 \times S_1$ is free, there exist functions $b_j \in \mathbb{C}[S_0]$ such that $\sum_j b_j a_j = 1$. Consequently, $\delta(\sum_j b_j y_j) = \sum_j b_j a_j = 1$. $\square$

Proposition 3. *If* $\dim V = 1$ *and* $\mathfrak{g}^{(3)} = \{0\}$*, then the* $V \times H$*-action on* G *is proper and there is a global slice isomorphic to* $\mathbb{C}^{\dim G - \dim H - 1}$.

Proof. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ is commutative, since $[\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(j+k+1)}$. We can assume that $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = \{0\}$, $\pi_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \pi_0(\mathfrak{v})$, $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_0, \ldots, X_m \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\mathfrak{v} = \langle X_0 + Z_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ where Z_0 is central.

The element Z_0 is not contained in the vector space $\mathfrak{s}_2 := \mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{g}^{(1)})$, since $[X_0, Y_1] = Z_0$ would imply $\mathrm{Ad}(e^{-Y_1})(X_0 + Z_0) = X_0$ contradicting $\mathrm{Ad}(G)(\mathfrak{v}) \cap \mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$. Let $S_2 := \exp \mathfrak{s}_2$. Now, the $V \times H$-action on G/S_2 is free if and only if the $V \times H$-action on G is free, because $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ and $[\mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}] = \{0\}$. $\square$

The following examples illustrates the construction of the global slice in Proposition 3. Let G be the group of upper triangular (4×4)-matrices and

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \; V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

The normal commutative subgroup

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y_3 & z \\ 0 & 1 & x_0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y_3, z, x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

contains H and V as subgroups. The set

$$S_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

is a slice of the action of N on G by left-multiplication, i.e., $S_0 \times N \to G$, $(s_0, n) \mapsto s_0 n$ is an isomorphism. The decomposition $\mathfrak{n} = \{x_0 = x_1 = 0\} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ defines a slice $S_1 := \{x_0 = x_1 = 0\}$ of the H-action on N. Using the coordinates y_1, y_2, y_3, z, the V-action on $G/H \cong S_0 \times S_1$ is given by the derivation $\delta = -y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + (1 - y_1 y_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -y_1 t & t - y_1 y_2 t \\ 0 & 1 & t & t y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\delta(z - y_2 y_3) = 1$ and $S := \{z = y_2 y_3\} \subset S_0 \times S_1$ is a global slice of the V-action. Note that $\log S \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is non-linear. Alternatively, we can construct the global slice using Lemma 3 with $G' = \{y_2 = 0\}$ and Lemma 8, because $X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}' \setminus {\mathfrak{g}'}^{(1)}$.

Let us summarize the properties of the smallest example of a triple $H, V \subset G$ satisfying $\mathrm{Ad}(G)(V) \cap H = \{e\}$ and $\dim V = 1$ that can not be handled by the methods presented so far:

1. $\mathfrak{g}^{(3)} \neq \{0\}$, $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)(\mathfrak{g}) \not\subset \mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)}$
2. There is a basis $((X_0, \ldots, X_m))$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)}$ such that $X_i \in \mathfrak{g} \backslash \mathfrak{g}^{(l-1)}$ and $\mathfrak{v} = \langle X_0 + Z_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$.
3. For all $Y \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus (\pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0(\mathfrak{h})))$, $\mathrm{ad}(Y)(\mathfrak{h}) \not\subset \mathfrak{h}$ and $[Y, X_0] \neq 0$.

We finally come back to the explicit examples of the previous section that are related to free affine $\mathbb{C}^2$-actions on some $\mathbb{C}^n$. The quotient $\mathbb{C}^n/V_0$ of a subgroup $\mathbb{C} \cong V_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and the induced $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}^2/V_0$-action on $\mathbb{C}^n/V$ can be easily calculated. It would be helpful to know if these $\mathbb{C}$-actions, that are well understood, can arise in our context, i.e., if they are equivalent to the V-action on G/H, where V and H are subgroups of a unipotent Lie group G. Unfortunately there is no method available to decide this question or to construct G, H and V from a given $\mathbb{C}$-action.

If we choose an $\mathrm{ad}(X_0)$-invariant subspace $\mathfrak{s}_0 \subset \mathfrak{s}$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = \langle Z_0\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is a Levi-Malcev decomposition as in Lemma 8 and compatible coordinates y_j of $\mathfrak{s}_0$ and z_0, then the $\mathbb{C}$-action on $\mathfrak{g}/H$ is given by a triangular derivation δ and $\delta z_0 = 1 + P$, where P is a polynomial in the coordinates y_j without constant and linear terms. Let the depth $d(y_j) = d$ be defined by $Y_j \in \mathfrak{g}^{(d-1)} \setminus \mathfrak{g}^{(d)}$. We define the degree $d(P) := \max_\kappa \sum_j \kappa_j d(y_j)$ of a polynomial $P = \sum_\kappa a_\kappa \prod_j y_j^{\kappa_j} \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{s}_0]$ as the usual notion of degree weighted by the depth of the variables. Since $[\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(k)}] \subset \mathfrak{g}^{(j+k+1)}$, we have the inequality $d(y_j) \geq d(\delta(y_j)) + d(x_0)$. We can estimate the size of G assuming that a given $\mathbb{C}$-action arises as the induced V-action on G/H using the (linear Levi-Malcev) coordinates. For example, the quotient of the action generated by δ_2 on $\mathbb{C}^5$ is $\{z_2 = 0\} \cong \mathbb{C}^4$. The induced action generated by δ_1 on this quotient is given by the derivation $-y_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} - y_1 y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + (1 - y_1 y_3) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$. We recursively obtain $d(y_1) = 1$, $d(y_2) \geq 3$, $d(y_3) \geq 5$, and $d(z_1) \geq 7$. This means that $\mathfrak{g}^{(6)} \neq \{0\}$ for the corresponding nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

References

[1] L. Corwin, F. P. Greenleaf, *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications Part 1: Basic theory and examples*, Cambridge University Press 1990

[2] R. Lipsman, *Proper actions and a compactness condition*, J. Lie Theory **5** (1995), 25–39

[3] S. Nasrin, *Criterion of proper actions for 2-step nilpotent Lie groups*, Tokyo J. Math. **24**, No.2, 535-543 (2001)

[4] R. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Annals of mathematics, vol.**73**, no.2, (1961), 295-323

[5] A. Püttmann, *Free affine actions of unipotent groups on* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Transf. Groups, to appear

[6] J. Winkelmann, *On free holomorphic* $\mathbb{C}$*-actions on* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *and homogeneous Stein manifolds*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), no. 1-3, 593–612

[7] T. Yoshino, *A counterexample to Lipsman's conjecture*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), no. 5, 561–566

[8] T. Yoshino, *A solution to Lipsman's conjecture for* $\mathbb{R}^4$, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, No. 80, 4293-4306 (2004).

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum
E-mail address: `annett.puettmann@rub.de`